IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.91 of 2004

Vithal N.Kulkarni .. Petitioner

vs

1.Special Land Acqui.Officer,Kolhapur .. Respts & ors

Mr.A.M.Kulkarni for Applicant

Ms.Geeta Mulekar Asst. Govt.Pleader for Respondents

..

CORAM : B.H.MARLAPALLE, J

DATE: 23rd September, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard Mr Kulkarni learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms Mulekar Assistant Government Pleader. The first petitioner is the son and the second petitioner is his father who is no more and the first petitioner has already come on record.

2. Both the revision applications arises from an an order dated 1st April 2004 passed by the Special Land Acquisition Officer No.12, Kolhapur rejecting the application filed under section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1884 on the ground of delay. Hence, these applications are thus decided.

3. Connected Revision Application i.e. Civil Revision Application No. 155 of 2004 and others have been decided by this court on 10th August 2005 and in respect of these two applications some additional information was required to be submitted by the learned Assistant Government Pleader and therefore time was granted to her. Today she has placed on record a copy of the award passed by the Land Acquisition Officer alongwith the details each of the claimant's compensation. The names of the present petitioners are at serial no. 29 and 31 of the Annexure attached to the final award and therefore it is clear that they were covered by the report of service of notice under section 12 (2) of the Land Acquisition Act as submitted by the Talathi. Hence, as per the reasons set out in the order passed in C.R.A.No.91 of 2004 these revision applications also fails and the same are rejected

summarily.

(B.H.Marlapalle, J)